

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 16 remain in the case.

Reconsideration of this Application and entry of the foregoing amendments are requested. Claims 1, 4, 5, 10 and 14-16 have been amended in view of the Office Action and to better define what the Applicants consider their invention, as fully supported by an enabling disclosure. Additional support for the amendments to claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 14-16 can be found, for example, at paragraphs (0023), (0028 and (0036) of the disclosure as filed. Claims 6, 8, 10 and 14-16 have been amended to delete indices numbering the relations.

**REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102 SECOND PARAGRAPH**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 6, 7 and 13 as being anticipated by Naidu et al., under 35 U.S.C. § 102, second paragraph.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection as follows.

Naidu et al. do not teach a system or a method as now recited in the amended independent claims 1 and 5.

In view of the above and foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw his rejection of claims 1, 2, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 6, 7 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § § 102, second paragraph.

The rejections of the original claims are believed to have been overcome by the present remarks and the introduction of new claims. From the foregoing, further and favorable action in the form of a Notice of Allowance is believed to be next in order, and such an action is earnestly solicited.

Application No. 10/510,030 – Amendment filed February 7, 2006

Authorization is hereby given to charge and deficiencies or credit any overpayments to deposit account no. 13-3405 in connection with this response.

Respectfully submitted,  
SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

Date: February 7, 2006

By   
Joan T. Kluger  
Reg. No. 38,940  
1600 Market Street, Suite 3600  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 751-2357  
Fax: (215) 751-2205  
E-mail: jkluger@schnader.com  
Attorneys for Applicant